UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/767,414	01/27/2004	Pamela M. Mazurek	1391-1572	2877
	7590 02/06/200 DREYFUS 28455	EXAMINER		
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE			GWARTNEY, ELIZABETH A	
P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60610			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			4145	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/06/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/767,414	MAZUREK ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	ELIZABETH GWARTNEY	4145			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
<i>,</i>	, <del></del>				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
		0 0.0.2.0.			
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4) Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> </ul>					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)       4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)         Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)       Paper No(s)/Mail Date         Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)       5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>20040719;20070807;20080118</u> . 6)  Other:					

Art Unit: 4145

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 4145

4. Claims 1-21, 25-32, and 36-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reed et al. (US 5,651,936) and in view of Cerestar ("Maltidex M 16311 – Technical Information").

Regarding claim 1, Reed et al. discloses:

A method of making a chewing gum composition comprising the steps of:

- a) making a syrup by evaporating water from a mixture (C2/L47-48) comprising:
  - i) an aqueous sorbitol solution containing at least 50% sorbitol (C2/L48-49);
  - ii) a plasticizing agent selected from the group consisting of glycerin, propylene glycol and mixtures thereof (C2/L49-51); and
  - iii) a hydrogenated starch hydrolyzate (see maltitol, C5/L8-12),
  - iv) wherein the final evaporated syrup composition comprises less than 10% moisture (C5/L57-60), about 5% to about 20% plasticizing agent (see about 17% to 40% glycerin, C5/L38), at least 50% sorbitol (see about 55% to about 80% sorbitol, C5/L37-38), about 3% to about 25% maltitol (see about 3% to about 10% maltitol, C5/L39).
- b) mixing the syrup with gum base and additional chewing gum ingredients to produce the chewing gum composition (C2/L53-55).

While Reed et al. discloses a syrup composition including a hydrogenated starch hydrolysate as an anticrystallization agent (i.e. maltitol, C5/L8-12), the reference does not explicitly disclose a hydrogenated starch hydrolysate in syrup form containing at

least 1.5% hydrogenated oligosaccharides with a DP of 3 or greater in the final evaporated syrup.

However, Cerestar teaches a maltitol syrup (i.e. Maltidex M 16311) containing high maltitol content (see 76% on a dry basis, "Typical data") and hydrogenated oligosaccharides having a DP of 3 or greater (see 22% on a dry basis, "Typical data") for use in chewing gum applications as an anticrystallization agent. Therefore, the use of said hydrogenated starch hydrolysate including Maltidex M 16311 syrup would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, because it would amount to nothing more than a use of a known form of hydrogenated starch hydrolysate for its intended use as an anticrystallization agent in a known environment to accomplish entirely expected results.

Further, the final syrup will necessarily comprise at least 1.5% hydrogenated oligosaccharides in the final evaporated syrup based on the combination of Maltidex M 16311 and the sorbitol syrup composition of Reed et al.

Regarding claim 2, modified Reed et al. discloses all of the claim limitations as set forth above and also discloses that the step of mixing the syrup with the gum base comprises:

- a) providing about 5% to about 95% gum base (Table 3);
- b) providing about 5% to about 95% of a bulking agent, the bulking agent comprising the syrup (C8/L29-30; see sorbitol syrup comprising about 5% to 50% of composition, C8/L39-41);
- c) providing about 0.1% to about 15% flavoring agent (C9/L19-21); and

d) mixing the gum, bulking agent and flavoring agent to form the chewing gum composition (C9/L40-49).

Page 5

Regarding claims 3-5 and 7, modified Reed et al. discloses all of the claim limitations set forth above. Further, Reed et al. discloses that the syrup comprises over 30% of the chewing gum composition (Table 3 – Examples 10-11), the additional chewing gum ingredients comprise a flavoring agent (C9/L19, Claim 5) and a powdered bulking agent (Claim 5, C9/L13-18), the chewing gum composition is sugarless (C3/L39-42), and that the syrup, after evaporation, has a water content of less than about 4% (C10/L65-C11/L1; see preferred embodiments contain 2% water, C5/L62-63).

Regarding claim 6, modified Reed et al. discloses all of the claim limitations set forth above. Reed also discloses a mixture, prior to evaporation, comprising about 52% to about 87% sorbitol solution (see 75%, C10/L66(), about 8% to about 20% plasticizing agent (see 20%, C10/L67), and about 5% to about 30% hydrogenated starch hydrolyzate (i.e. maltitol) (see 5%, C11/L1). While Reed et al. discloses a mixture including a hydrogenated starch hydrolysate (i.e. maltitol, C5/L8-12), the reference does not expressly disclose that it is in a syrup form. Cerestar teaches a maltitol product (i.e. Maltidex M 16311), for use in chewing gum as an anticrystallization agent which is available in syrup form ("Typical data"). The motivation for adding Maltidex M 16311 to the sorbitol syrup of Reed et al., as taught by Cerestar, is set forth above.

Regarding claim 8, Reed et al. discloses:

A chewing gum composition comprising a homogenous mixture of gum base and a bulking agent wherein the bulking agent comprises an aqueous sugarless syrup comprising at least 50% sorbitol, about 3% to about 25% maltitol, about 8% to about 20% plasticizing agent and wherein the chewing gum composition has less than 2% moisture and said syrup comprises over 30% of said composition (Table 3 – Examples 10-11).

Reed does not disclose that the composition includes at least 1.5% hydrogenated oligosaccharides having a DP of 3 or greater.

However, Cerestar teaches a maltitol syrup (i.e. Maltidex M 16311) containing high maltitol content (see 76% on a dry basis, "Typical data") and hydrogenated oligosaccharides having a DP of 3 or greater (see 22% on a dry basis, "Typical data") for use in chewing gum applications as an anticrystallization agent. Therefore, the use of said hydrogenated starch hydrolysate including Maltidex M 16311 syrup would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, because it would amount to nothing more than a use of a known form of hydrogenated starch hydrolysate for its intended use as an anticrystallization agent in a known environment to accomplish entirely expected results.

Further, the final syrup will necessarily comprise at least 1.5% hydrogenated oligosaccharides in the final evaporated syrup based on the combination of Maltidex M 16311 and the sorbitol syrup composition of Reed et al.

Regarding claims 9-10, modified Reed et al. discloses all of the claim limitations as set forth above and further discloses that the syrup comprises over 40% of the

composition (Table 3 – Ex. 11) and the sorbitol comprises over 60% of said syrup (C10/L65-C11/L1).

Regarding claim 11, Reed et al. discloses:

An aqueous syrup for use in making both stick and pellet chewing gum products (C9/L29-39) comprising, on a dry basis:

- a) about 60% to about 80% sorbitol (see 70% sorbitolC10/L66-67),
- b) about 8% to about 15% plasticizing agent selected from the group consisting of glycerin, propylene glycol and mixtures thereof (C2/L49-51; see about 17% to about 40% glycerin, C5/L38), and
- c) about 5% to about 30% hydrogenated starch hydrolyzate solids (see about 5% maltitol, C10/L65-C11/L1)., the hydrogenated starch hydrolyzate solids containing, at least 4% maltitol on the dry basis of the syrup (see 5%, C11/L1).

While Reed et al. discloses a syrup composition including a hydrogenated starch hydrolysate as an anticrystallization agent (i.e. maltitol, C5/L8-12), the reference does not explicitly disclose a hydrogenated starch hydrolysate containing at least 1.5% hydrogenated oligosaccharides with a DP of 3 or greater in the final evaporated syrup.

However, Cerestar teaches a maltitol syrup (i.e. Maltidex M 16311) containing high maltitol content (see 76% on a dry basis, "Typical data") and hydrogenated oligosaccharides having a DP of 3 or greater (see 22% on a dry basis, "Typical data") for use in chewing gum applications as an anticrystallization agent. Therefore, the use of said hydrogenated starch hydrolysate including Maltidex M 16311 syrup would be

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, because it would amount to nothing more than a use of a known form of hydrogenated starch hydrolysate for its intended use as an anticrystallization agent in a known environment to accomplish entirely expected results.

Further, the final syrup will necessarily comprise at least 1.5% hydrogenated oligosaccharides in the final evaporated syrup based on the combination of Maltidex M 16311 and the sorbitol syrup composition of Reed et al.

Regarding claim 12, modified Reed et al. discloses all of the claim limitations as set forth above but does not explicitly disclose that the oligosaccharides have a weight average DP of between about 4 and 5. When the composition recited in the reference is substantially identical to that of the claims, claimed properties or functions are presumed to be inherent (MPEP § 2112.01). Here, Cerestar discloses a maltitol syrup identical in composition to the hydrogenated starch hydrolysate of instant claim, therefore, the weight average DP of the oligosaccharides is considered to be an inherent property.

Regarding claim 13, Reed et al. discloses all of the claim limitations as set forth above and also discloses that the syrup comprises about 3% moisture (C10/L65-C11/L1; see preferred embodiments contain 2% water, C5/L62-63).

Regarding claim 14, Reed et al. discloses:

A method of making a syrup for use in both stick and pellet chewing gum products (C9/L29-39) comprising the steps of:

Art Unit: 4145

a) providing sorbitol in an aqueous solution (C10/L66) having a solids content of at least about 50% sorbitol (C2/L48-49; see 70% sorbitol, C10/L67) and about 30% to about 50% water (see 30% water, C10/L67);

- b) mixing (C2/L47) said sorbitol solution with
  - i) a plasticizing agent selected from the group consisting of glycerin, propylene glycol and mixtures thereof (C2/L50-51), and
  - ii) a hydrogenated starch hydrolyzate (see maltitol, C5/L8-12)
- c) removing moisture from said mixture to product a syrup having a moisture content of less than about 10% (C5/L57-60).

While Reed et al. discloses a syrup composition including a hydrogenated starch hydrolysate as an anticrystallization agent (i.e. maltitol, C5/L8-12), the reference does not explicitly disclose a hydrogenated starch hydrolysate in syrup form containing at least 50% maltitol and at least 10% hydrogenated oligosaccharides with a DP of 3 or greater. However, Cerestar teaches a maltitol syrup (i.e. Maltidex M 16311) containing high maltitol content (see 76% on a dry basis, "Typical data") and hydrogenated oligosaccharides having a DP of 3 or greater (see 22% on a dry basis, "Typical data") for use in chewing gum applications as an anticrystallization agent. Therefore, the use of said hydrogenated starch hydrolysate including Maltidex M 16311 syrup would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, because it would amount to nothing more than a use of a known form of hydrogenated starch hydrolysate for its intended use as an anticrystallization agent in a known environment to accomplish entirely expected results.

Regarding claims 15-16, modified Reed et al. discloses all of the claim limitations as set forth above in claim 14 and further discloses that the mixture comprises, on a dry basis, about 8% to about 25% plasticizing agent (see about 17% to 40% glycerin, C5/L38) and about 4% to about 25% maltitol (see about 3% to about 10% maltitol, C5/L39).

Regarding claim 17, modified Reed et al. discloses all of the claim limitations as set forth above but does not explicitly disclose that the oligosaccharides have a weight average DP of between about 4 and 5. When the composition recited in the reference is substantially identical to that of the claims, claimed properties or functions are presumed to be inherent (MPEP § 2112.01). Here, Cerestar discloses a maltitol syrup identical in composition to the hydrogenated starch hydrolysate of instant claim, therefore, the weight average DP of the oligosaccharides is considered to be an inherent property.

Regarding claims 18 and 19-21, modified Reed et al. discloses all of the claim limitations as set forth above. Reed et al. also discloses that evaporation is carried out under vacuum (C11/L1-2) wherein the syrup is evaporated to a moisture content of less than 5% or 3% respectively (see sugarless syrup used in examples 10-11, C10/L65-C11/L1). Further, Reed et al. discloses that the sorbitol solution comprises about 70% to about 30% water (C4/L39-42, C10/L67).

Regarding claim 25, Reed et al. discloses:

A chewing gum formulation comprising:

Art Unit: 4145

a) a water insoluble gum base (C6/L61-C7/L3); and

b) a water soluble portion which includes sorbitol, the sorbitol being present, at least initially, in the formulation as a syrup of aqueous sorbitol and comprising approximately 25% to about 65% by weight of the formulation, the syrup being created by coevaporating a solution that comprises, prior to coevaporation, approximately 52% to about 87% by weight aqueous sorbitol (see 75%, C10/L66), approximately 5% to about 30% by weight of a hydrogenated starch hydrolyzate (see 5% maltitol, C11/L1) and approximately 8% to about 20% by weight of a plasticizing agent selected from the group consisting of glycerin, propylene glycol and mixtures thereof (see 20% glycerin, C10/L67).

However, Reed et al. does not disclose that they hydrogenated starch hydrolysate is a syrup.

Cerestar teaches a maltitol syrup (i.e. Maltidex M 16311) containing high maltitol content and hydrogenated oligosaccharides for use in chewing gum applications as an anticrystallization agent. Therefore, the use of said hydrogenated starch hydrolysate including Maltidex M 16311 syrup would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, because it would amount to nothing more than a use of a known form of hydrogenated starch hydrolysate for its intended use as an anticrystallization agent in a known environment to accomplish entirely expected results.

Regarding claim 26, modified Reed et al. discloses all of the claim limitations as set forth above. Further Reed et al. discloses that the syrup is created by coevaporating a solution (C11/L1-3) that comprises, prior to coevaporation:

Art Unit: 4145

a) approximately 55% to about 75% by weight aqueous sorbitol (see 75%, C10/L66);

- b) approximately 5% to about 20% by weight hydrogenated starch hydrolyzate (see 5% maltitol, C11/L1); and
- c) approximately 8% to about 15% by weight glycerin (see 20% glycerin, C10/L67).

While Reed et al. discloses a mixture including a hydrogenated starch hydrolysate (i.e. maltitol, C5/L8-12), the reference does not expressly disclose that it is in a syrup form.

Regarding claims 27-32, modified Reed et al. discloses all of the claim limitations as set forth above. Reed et al. also discloses that the syrup includes not more than 10% water (C10/L65-C11/L1). Further, Reed et al. discloses that the chewing gum formulation includes a crystalline form of sorbitol (Table 3), is sugarfree (Table 3-Examples 10-11, C3/L39-42), includes artificial sweeteners (Table 3-Examples 10-11), and includes glycerin besides the plasticizing agent in the syrup (Table 3-Example 10). In addition, Reed et al. discloses that the water insoluble gum base is wax-free (C8/L4-6).

Regarding claim 36, Reed et al. discloses a method for producing chewing gum that includes sorbitol (Abstract) comprising the steps of:

a) providing a syrup consisting essentially of:

Art Unit: 4145

i) aqueous sorbitol (C10/L66),

ii) a plasticizing agent selected from the group consisting of glycerin, propylene glycol and mixtures thereof (see glycerin, C10/L67), and

- iii) hydrogenated starch hydrolyzate (see maltitol, C111/L1),
- b) evaporating water from the syrup (C11/L1-4); and
- c) combining the evaporated syrup to additional chewing gum ingredients to create a chewing gum formulation (C11/L6-7, Table 3—Examples 10-11).

However, Reed et al. does not disclose that they hydrogenated starch hydrolysate is a syrup.

Cerestar teaches a maltitol syrup (i.e. Maltidex M 16311) containing high maltitol content and hydrogenated oligosaccharides for use in chewing gum applications as an anticrystallization agent. Therefore, the use of said hydrogenated starch hydrolysate including Maltidex M 16311 syrup would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, because it would amount to nothing more than a use of a known form of hydrogenated starch hydrolysate for its intended use as an anticrystallization agent in a known environment to accomplish entirely expected results.

Regarding claim 37, modified Reed et al. discloses all of the claim limitations as set forth above in claim 36. Reed et al. also discloses that the syrup is created by coevaporating a solution that comprises, on a dry basis:

a) approximately 55% to about 87% by weight sorbitol (see 70%, C10/L66);

b) approximately 4% to about 25% by weight maltitol (see 5% maltitol, C11/L1);

d) approximately 5% to about 20% by weight glycerin (see 20% glycerin,

C10/L67).

While Reed et al. discloses a syrup composition including a hydrogenated starch hydrolysate as an anticrystallization agent (i.e. maltitol, C5/L8-12), the reference does not explicitly disclose a hydrogenated starch hydrolysate in syrup form containing at least 1.5% hydrogenated oligosaccharides with a DP of 3 or greater in the final evaporated syrup.

However, Cerestar teaches a maltitol syrup (i.e. Maltidex M 16311) containing high maltitol content (see 76% on a dry basis, "Typical data") and hydrogenated oligosaccharides having a DP of 3 or greater (see 22% on a dry basis, "Typical data") for use in chewing gum applications as an anticrystallization agent. Therefore, the use of said hydrogenated starch hydrolysate including Maltidex M 16311 syrup would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, because it would amount to nothing more than a use of a known form of hydrogenated starch hydrolysate for its intended use as an anticrystallization agent in a known environment to accomplish entirely expected results.

Further, the final syrup will necessarily comprise at least 1.5% hydrogenated oligosaccharides in the final evaporated syrup based on the combination of Maltidex M 16311 and the sorbitol syrup composition of Reed et al.

Regarding claim 38-41, modified Reed et al. discloses all of the claim limitations as set forth above. Further, Reed et al. discloses that the syrup includes not more than 10% water (C10/L65-C11/L1) and includes a crystalline form of sorbitol (Table 3,

Art Unit: 4145

Examples 10-11). Reed et al. also discloses that the chewing gum formulation is sugarfree (C3/L39-42) and that the syrup comprises approximately 25% to about 65% by weight of the chewing gum formulation (Table 3 – Examples 10-11).

Regarding claim 42, Reed et al. discloses a chewing gum product containing sorbitol (Abstract) wherein the product is made from a composition comprising a syrup mixed into the composition (C9/L40-54), the syrup consisting essentially of:

- a) aqueous sorbitol (C10/L66),
- b) a plasticizing agent selected from the group consisting of glycerin, propylene glycol and mixtures thereof (C10/L67, C4/L54-56); and
- c) hydrogenated starch hydrolyzate (see maltitol, C5/L8-12, C11/L1).

However, Reed et al. does not disclose that they hydrogenated starch hydrolysate is a syrup.

Cerestar teaches a maltitol syrup (i.e. Maltidex M 16311) containing high maltitol content and hydrogenated oligosaccharides for use in chewing gum applications as an anticrystallization agent. Therefore, the use of said hydrogenated starch hydrolysate including Maltidex M 16311 syrup would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, because it would amount to nothing more than a use of a known form of hydrogenated starch hydrolysate for its intended use as an anticrystallization agent in a known environment to accomplish entirely expected results.

Art Unit: 4145

Regarding claim 43, modified Reed et al. discloses all of the claim limitations as set forth above, and that the syrup is created by the coevaporation of a solution that comprises, prior to coevaporation:

- a) approximately 52% to about 87% by weight aqueous sorbitol (see 75%, C10/L66);
- b) approximately 4% to about 25% by weight maltitol (see 5%, C11/L1);
- d) approximately 5% to about 20% by weight glycerin (see 20%, C10/L67).

While Reed et al. discloses a syrup composition including a hydrogenated starch hydrolysate as an anticrystallization agent (i.e. maltitol, C5/L8-13), the reference does not explicitly disclose a hydrogenated starch hydrolysate in syrup form containing at least 1.5% hydrogenated oligosaccharides with a DP of 3 or greater in the final evaporated syrup.

However, Cerestar teaches a maltitol syrup (i.e. Maltidex M 16311) containing high maltitol content (see 76% on a dry basis, "Typical data") and hydrogenated oligosaccharides having a DP of 3 or greater (see 22% on a dry basis, "Typical data") for use in chewing gum applications as an anticrystallization agent. Therefore, the use of said hydrogenated starch hydrolysate including Maltidex M 16311 syrup would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, because it would amount to nothing more than a use of a known form of hydrogenated starch hydrolysate for its intended use as an anticrystallization agent in a known environment to accomplish entirely expected results.

Art Unit: 4145

Further, the final syrup will necessarily comprise at least 1.5% hydrogenated oligosaccharides in the final evaporated syrup based on the combination of Maltidex M 16311 and the sorbitol syrup composition of Reed et al.

Regarding claims 44-45, modified Reed et al. discloses all of the claim limitations as set forth above. Further, Reed et al. discloses that the syrup includes not more than 10% or comprises not more than 3% water respectively (C10/L65-C11/L1).

5. Claims 22-24 and 33-35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reed et al. in view of Cerestar ("Maltidex M 16311 – Technical Information") and in view of Dogliotti (US 4,105,801).

Regarding claim 22, Reed et al. discloses:

A method of making at least two different chewing gum compositions (Examples 10-11), at least one of the compositions being used to make stick chewing gum products (C9/L31-39), comprising the steps of:

- a) evaporating a mixture comprising sorbitol solution, glycerin and hydrogenated starch hydrolyzate syrup to from an evaporated sugarless syrup (C10/L65-C11/L4); and
- b) mixing the evaporated sugarless syrup with a first set of additional chewing gum ingredients comprising gum base, a sugarless bulk sweetener and a flavoring agent, to form a first chewing gum composition (Examples 10-11);
- c) forming the first chewing gum composition into stick chewing gum products
   (C9/L31-39);

d) mixing the evaporated sugarless syrup with a second set of additional chewing gum ingredients different than the first set of additional chewing gum ingredients, the second set of additional chewing gum ingredients comprising gum base, a sugarless bulk sweetener and a flavoring agent, to form a second chewing gum composition (Examples 10-11); and

e) forming the second chewing gum composition into cores (see pellets, C9/L31-39);

However, Reed et al. does not disclose that they hydrogenated starch hydrolysate is a syrup.

Cerestar teaches a maltitol syrup (i.e. Maltidex M 16311) containing high maltitol content and hydrogenated oligosaccharides for use in chewing gum applications as an anticrystallization agent. Therefore, the use of said hydrogenated starch hydrolysate including Maltidex M 16311 syrup would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, because it would amount to nothing more than a use of a known form of hydrogenated starch hydrolysate for its intended use as an anticrystallization agent in a known environment to accomplish entirely expected results.

While Reed et al. discloses chewing gum compositions used to make pellets or chunks (C9/L33-39), the reference does not explicitly disclose making coated chewing gum and coating the cores with sugarless coating.

Dogliotti teaches coating gum (C1/L28-30, C2/L64-67) with a sugarless coating (see xylitol, Abstract). Dogliotti teaches that sugarless coatings can help preserve the core and impart sweetness and desirable texture to the final product (C1/L19-32).

Art Unit: 4145

It would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have coated the chewing gum composition of Reed et al. with a sugarless coating as taught by Dogliotti for the purpose of preserving the core and imparting sweetness and desirable texture properties to the final product. Further, doing so would extend the shelf-life of the product.

Regarding claim 23, modified Reed et al. discloses all of the claim limitations set forth above. Reed et al. also discloses that the evaporated mixture has a solids content of about 50% to about 80% sorbitol (see about 55% to about 80%, C5/L37-38), about 4% to about 25% maltitol (see about 3% to about 10% maltitol, C5/L39). However, Reed et al. do not disclose that the evaporated mixture has about 1.5% to about 5% hydrogenated oligosaccharides having a DP greater than 3 and with a weight average DP of between about 4 and 5.

However, Cerestar teaches a maltitol syrup (i.e. Maltidex M 16311) containing high maltitol content (see 76% on a dry basis, "Typical data") and hydrogenated oligosaccharides having a DP of 3 or greater (see 22% on a dry basis, "Typical data") for use in chewing gum applications as an anticrystallization agent. Therefore, the use of said hydrogenated starch hydrolysate including Maltidex M 16311 syrup would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, because it would amount to nothing more than a use of a known form of hydrogenated starch hydrolysate for its intended use as an anticrystallization agent in a known environment to accomplish entirely expected results.

Further, the final syrup will necessarily comprise about 1.5% hydrogenated oligosaccharides in the final evaporated syrup based on the combination of Maltidex M 16311 and the sorbitol syrup composition of Reed et al.

Regarding the weight average DP of the oligosaccharides, when the composition recited in the reference is substantially identical to that of the claims, claimed properties or functions are presumed to be inherent (MPEP § 2112.01). Here, Cerestar discloses a maltitol syrup identical in composition to the hydrogenated starch hydrolysate of claim 23 (c), therefore, the weight average DP of the oligosaccharides is considered to be an inherent property.

Regarding claim 24, modified Reed et al. discloses all of the claim limitations as set forth above. Further, Reed et al. discloses that the sorbitol solution comprises about 70% sorbitol and about 30% water (C10/L67), and the mixture, prior to evaporation, comprises about 52% to about 87% of said sorbitol solution (see 75%, C10/L66), about 8% to about 20% glycerin (see 20% glycerin, C10/L67) and about 5% to about 30% hydrogenated starch hydrolyzate solids (see 5% maltitol, C11/L1).

Regarding claim 33, Reed et al. discloses a method for creating chewing gum compositions for use in making stick chewing gum products and chewing gum compositions used to make pellet chewing gum products (C9/L31-39) comprising the steps of:

a) coevaporating a solution that comprises, prior to coevaporation, approximately 52% to about 87% by weight aqueous sorbitol (see 75%, C10/L66),

Art Unit: 4145

approximately 5% to about 30% by weight of a hydrogenated starch hydrolyzate (see 5% maltitol, C11/L1) and approximately 8% to about 20% by weight of a plasticizing agent selected from the group consisting of glycerin, propylene glycol and mixtures thereof (see 20% glycerin, C10/L67);

b) using the syrup to make a first chewing gum composition for stick chewing gum products (C9/L31-39), wherein the syrup comprises about 40% to about 65% (see 40%, Table 3 – Examples 10-11) of the first chewing gum composition; and

c) using the syrup to make a second chewing gum composition for pellet chewing gum products, wherein the syrup comprises about 30% to about 55% of the second chewing gum composition (see 40%, Table 3- Examples 10-11).

However, Reed et al. does not disclose that they hydrogenated starch hydrolysate is a syrup.

Cerestar teaches a maltitol syrup (i.e. Maltidex M 16311) containing high maltitol content and hydrogenated oligosaccharides for use in chewing gum applications as an anticrystallization agent. Therefore, the use of said hydrogenated starch hydrolysate including Maltidex M 16311 syrup would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, because it would amount to nothing more than a use of a known form of hydrogenated starch hydrolysate for its intended use as an anticrystallization agent in a known environment to accomplish entirely expected results.

While Reed et al. discloses a method to make chewing gum compositions for pellets or chunks (C9/L33-39), the reference does not explicitly disclose making coated chewing gum and coating the cores with sugarless coating.

Dogliotti teaches coating gum (C1/L28-30, C2/L64-67) with a sugarless coating (see xylitol, Abstract). Dogliotti teaches that sugarless coatings can help preserve the core and impart sweetness and desirable texture to the final product (C1/L19-32).

It would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have coated the chewing gum composition of Reed et al. with a sugarless coating as taught by Dogliotti for the purpose of preserving the core and imparting sweetness and desirable texture properties to the final product. Further, doing so would extend the shelf-life of the product.

Regarding claim 34, modified Reed et al. discloses all of the claim limitations as set forth above. Additionally, Reed et al. discloses that the syrup is created by coevaporating a solution that comprises, prior to coevaporation:

- a) approximately 55% to about 75% by weight aqueous sorbitol (see 75%, C10/L66);
- b) approximately 5% to about 20% by weight hydrogenated starch hydrolyzate (see 5% maltitol, C11/L1); and
- c) approximately 8% to about 15% by weight glycerin (see 20% glycerin, C10/L67).

Reed et al. does not disclose that they hydrogenated starch hydrolyzate is a syrup. Cerestar teaches a maltitol product (i.e. Maltidex M 16311), for use in chewing

Art Unit: 4145

gum as an anticrystallization agent which is available in syrup form ("Typical data"). The motivation for adding Maltidex M 16311 to the sorbitol syrup of Reed et al., as taught by Cerestar, is set forth above.

Regarding claim 35, modified Reed et al. discloses all of the claim limitations as set forth above and that the syrup includes not more than 10% water (C10/L65-C11/L1).

## Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ELIZABETH GWARTNEY whose telephone number is (571)270-3874. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday;7:30AM - 5:00PM EST, Alt Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Basia Ridley can be reached on (571) 272-1453. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 4145

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/E. G./ Examiner, Art Unit 4145

> /Basia Ridley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4145